APR 30 2008

MISKIN & TSUI-YIP LLP

Intellectual Property Attorneys

Howard C. Miskin Gloria Tsui-Yip

Wendi Opper Uzar* Yasmin Pooyan

Of Counsel David M. McConoughey

* Also admitted in NJ

1350 Broadway Suite 802 New York, New York 10018 Tel 212.268.0900 Fax 212.268.0904

www.mt-iplaw.com

FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER TO: Aaron Dunwoody

DATE: April 30, 2008

FAX NUMBER: 571-273-8300

SENDER: Howard C. Miskin

PAGES: 16 (including cover)

SUBJECT: Application No. 09/849,635

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information and is intended only for the use of the addressee. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us at (212) 268 0900.

MESSAGE:	/ / Urgent	/V/ nt 1		
	, , Orgent	/X/ Pls. reply	// For info only	/ / For review
			•	
		•		

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 30 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	ADDITO				
	APPLICATION	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	ATTORNEY	CONFIRMATION
	NO.		INVENTOR	DOCKET NO.	NO.
ı	09/849,635	05/04/2001	SHEMTOV, Sami	551-P-002	1568
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1000

EXAMINER				
DUNWOODY, Agaron M.				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
3679.				

CENTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

1 hereby certify that this document and its
attachments is being facsimile transmitted to the
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No.
(571-273-8300
on April 30, 2008).

Signature:

APPLICANT'S
INTERVIEW RECORD
AND
RESPONSE TO
THE OFFICE ACTION OF FEBRUARY 25, 2008

APR 3 0 2008

MISKIN & TSUI-YIP LLP SERIAL NO. 09/849,635 DOCKET 551-P-002

INTERVIEW RECORD

Applicant's undersigned counsel acknowledges the courtesy of a telephonic interview with the Examiner on Wednesday, April 22, 2008.

In that interview Applicant's counsel inquired of the Examiner whether or not the basis for rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph was directed to an issue of double inclusion and determined that it was. In response to that double inclusion rejection, Applicant's counsel orally proposed amending independent claim 38 in the following respects to traverse the rejection:

- 1. change the transition phrase "comprising" to - said combination comprising -;
- 2. change each of the "electrical wire conduit", "coupling member", and "connecting member" claim elements following the "comprising" transitional phrase, respectively,

from "an electrical wire conduit ... " to - - said electrical wire conduit ... - -,

from "a coupling member ..." to -- said coupling member ... --; and

from "a connecting member ..." to -- said connecting member ... -- and to make corresponding changes in independent claim 39.

The Examiner appeared inclined to accept the proposed amendments as successfully traversing the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejection, but reserved acceptance pending receipt and review of the thus-amended claims in written form.

Applicant herby submits the following Amendment presenting these proposed amendments discussed with the Examiner in written form.

ROA20080225_Final20080430